

HOW THE BLUE BOTTLEFLY MAKES SMOKE SIGNALS: ON THE PRESENTATION OF A SERI TEXT

CÓMO LA MOSCA AZUL HACE SEÑALES DE HUMO: SOBRE LA PRESENTACIÓN DE UN TEXTO SERI

STEPHEN A. MARLETT

RESUMEN: Este trabajo presenta una versión actualizada de un texto corto en lengua seri, acerca de cómo la mosca azul se sienta en la carroña y hace señales de humo que atraen a los buitres. El texto era una de las dos narraciones originalmente publicadas en E. Moser (1968). En esta versión se entrega una discusión sobre la evolución del texto y su análisis, así como una nueva interlinearización detallada en la que se muestra la composición morfológica de las palabras.

PALABRAS CLAVE: lengua seri, narrativa oral, desarrollo de un sistema de escritura.

ABSTRACT: This paper presents an updated version of a short text in the Seri language, about how the blue bottlefly sits on carrion and essentially is making smoke signals that then attract vultures. The text was one of two texts first published in E. Moser (1968). Discussion of the evolution of the text and its analysis is presented as well as a new detailed interlinearization that gives the morphological composition of the words.

KEYWORDS: Seri language, oral narrative, development of writing system.

Introduction

This paper is about a very short Seri¹ text (51 seconds long in one of the early recordings) that has been published in more than one form. The text is by no means the most interesting one to be analyzed, but there is value in comparing the different forms in which it has appeared. Some phonetic

¹ Seri, ISO 639-3 code [sei], is spoken in Sonora, Mexico. For more information about the people and language, see O'Meara et al. (2013: 126-128) and the references cited there. Work on the text presented in this paper was facilitated in 2007 by a fellowship from the National Endowment for the Humanities (FN-50007), gratefully acknowledged. I thank Carolyn O'Meara for her comments on an earlier version of this work. I also thank the anonymous reviewers for their helpful suggestions, and Richard White for his help on the date of the recording by Roberto Herrera.

detail presented here has still not been addressed in linguistic descriptions. Furthermore, the place of texts such as this one dealing with differences between early stages of the history of the world and present times has not been addressed in anthropological studies of Seri culture. We do not have an adequate context in which to discuss the continued interest that such stories have in the language community.

In the section History, the history of publication is reviewed. The text is given in monolingual format in the section Text, using the community-based orthography, and in interlinearized format in the section Glossed Text. In the section Comments, I briefly compare the versions (apart from orthographical issues) and discuss the notable differences. One difference is mentioned here, however. The word /'χkoomox/ 'fly' (*Musca domestica* in other contexts but referring to some other kind of fly here —see below) was written with a final /k/ after the /x/ in E. Moser (1968); this is anomalous since the word occurs without that final /k/ in all of the paper file slips that Moser used. The word is written here as it occurs in the Seri dictionary, M. Moser & Marlett (2010), including with a long vowel in the first syllable (as in the 1968 and 1976 versions, but unlike on the paper slips). Facsimile versions of earlier presentations of the text are given in appendices A-C.

A short summary of the contents of the text is given here: The reason why vultures can find carcasses of animals in the desert is because the blue bottlefly is sitting on them making smoke signals.

The free translation given in E. Moser (1968) is the following:²

This story happened almost at the beginning of the world. The fly, by rubbing his front legs together, makes fire by friction-making-motions just like a person. Thus when the fly is with an animal carcass and makes fire by friction and makes smoke signals, the bird flying along finds the carcass on the desert. That is why it happens like that. Even today when any carcass is out of sight on the desert, the bird, due to the fly making fire by friction and making smoke signals, as it were, finds it and finally gets to it. That is how it happens.

² The unpublished free translation of the version of this text published in Morales (1976) (found in Moser's notes) is only slightly different.

The fly is identified in the title used in 1976 as *xcoomoj cooil*, which is the blue bottlefly (*Calliphora* spp.) according to M. Moser & Marlett (2010: 581). Some species, such as *Calliphora vomita*, are known to be attracted to rotting meat, where eggs are laid and larvae then develop. The flies can be observed rubbing their forelegs together, and this action evidently prompted the comparison with the human activity of starting a fire through friction. See Felger & M. Moser (1985: 123-125) for a description of the process. The verbs for this action are *químatox* (transitive) and *coomatox* (intransitive, unspecified object form); see M. Moser & Marlett (2010: 514, 224). The intransitive verb figures into the text under consideration here.

Kroeber (1931: 13) includes the following “myth fragment” from his short time of interaction with the Seris: “Fire is from the fly, who made it by rubbing his hands together, as he still does (*vide* R. Thomson).”³ It may be that this myth fragment (which may not have been accurately recorded) prompted Edward W. Moser, who began to learn and analyze Seri twenty years after Kroeber’s visit to the area, to ask for and record the text presented here.

History of the publication

The text was recorded by Edward Moser sometime prior to 1968, according to the first footnote to the first published form, which was for an academic audience, as E. Moser (1968: 364-365). That presentation utilized Americanist symbols to represent what was thought to be a phonemic transcription of the text; see appendix A. A recording made in 1966 by Edward Moser is part of the collection of Seri recordings available through the Archive of the Indigenous Languages of Latin

³ The R[oberto] Thomson referred to here was a non-Seri Mexican “of Hermosillo and Rancho San Rafael, who is now the Mexican Government’s *Jefe de Vigilancia* for the Seris, and in effect what in the United States would be known as Indian Agent for the tribe” (Kroeber, 1931: 3). It is not clear how he helped with the information given here. (His last name was given to the Seri boy who became known later as Roberto Herrera, as mentioned in the section History. Various non-Seri Mexican families gave last names to Seri babies during the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries.)

America, resource ID: SEI003R002, and is evidently the one that is the basis for the 1968 printed version. (An mp3 version of this recording is available on this [journal's website](#).⁴) The first footnote in the 1968 publication said that the text was told by Roberto Herrera T[homson], a man locally known as *Cmiique Roberto* and also known later as Roberto Herrera Marcos (1916-1988).⁵

However, the next presentation of the text, as Morales (1976), for the Seri community and also under the sponsorship of Moser, ascribes it to Jesús Morales, and there is little doubt that we are dealing with the same text. See appendix B. In unarchived typed notes by Edward or Mary Moser, it is indicated that various recordings were made in 1964 by Edward Moser, Mary Moser, and Bernard L. Fontana, the latter from the University of Arizona. The notes say the recordings were made of Jesús Morales, who was 58 years old at the time. The recordings were made in Desemboque (place of residence of the Mosers and of Morales). In these notes it is mentioned that the story “The Fly Legend” was recorded, on R[eel] 79. That recording is part of the collection deposited by the Mosers at the Arizona State Museum but the specific recording of this text has not been located.

The story was published again for the Seri community as Morales (1983) with updating of the spelling conventions; see appendix C.

Neither of the narrators (Herrera or Morales) was a writer of the language. As one compares the versions of the text, one can appreciate the fact that the shape of the written language was being slowly developed from its first steps (in the early 1950's, through the research of Edward and Mary Moser) through gradual improvements as various people in the community became interested and involved in reading and writing the language, through the early twenty-first century as confident writers helped to bring the writing of Seri into its present form. (Some of this history is traced in Marlett 2006.)

The presentation of the text here, in the section Text, updates the story once more to agree with the conventions used in the Seri dictionary, now in its second edition as M. Moser & Marlett (2010), which resulted from

⁴ <http://www.iifilologicas.unam.mx/tlalocan>.

⁵ <http://www-01.sil.org/mexico/bio/iHerreraRoberto.htm> (consulted May 13, 2013).

long interaction with Seri men and women who served on the editorial committee for that work. The present version was done with the editorial help of René Montaña Herrera in 2007. Word breaks are slightly different than those used previous to 2005. A few examples of these are given in (1), with reference to the text itself as shown in the section Glossed Text.

1. a. The switch reference markers are separated off, and thus *cotama* in the first sentence is now written *cota ma*.
- b. The declarative enclitic is separated off, and thus *caahcaba* in the first sentence is now written *caahca ha*.
- c. The ubiquitous morpheme *x*, glossed as ‘UT’ (‘unspecified time’), written as a suffix in the versions published previous to 2005, is separated off; thus *tabcamax* in the third sentence is now written *tabca ma x*.
- d. The definite article *quih* (one of several articles in the language) is written that way always now, and as a separate word, unlike in the 1976 version.
- e. The complex demonstratives (composed of a deictic and a definite article), written as two words in 1976, are written as one word today; see the example *tiquij* in the second sentence, which was written as *ti quij* in 1976 and earlier.

Commas are also used now, unlike in the 1976 and 1983 versions — a development that was made even more necessary because of the changes in word divisions. Other small differences may also be observed, mostly dealing with when and how to represent vowel length.

The current presentation is less phonetically-oriented than previous versions. For example, the word in sentence 3.1 was written as *tijma* because the clause has reduced stress and the vowel loses some of its underlying length; today that word is written *tij ma*, the reading of which does require some additional background on the part of the reader.

TEXT IN SERI

Hant com cmaa ipaxi quih iihax cota ma, hant com iti tahca ma, taax ano caahca ha. Xcoomoj tiquij, tiix cmiique quih yámatox. Ox isoq itáh toc cōtiij ma, ziix cooxi com itacoxl, toomatox, hamác cōtootni ma x, hant quih iti tahca ma x, ziix quih cooxi quih hehe quih iicot cocom quih hant quih iti toom ma x, ziic quih haquix mota x, imiiho tax. Taax ah oo cōtpacta x, ox tpacta, yoque.

Ox cōilha hizac xox, ziix quih cooxi quih heecot cotom, haquix toom ma x, haa tmaco xox, ziic quih imiiho tax; xcoomoj tiquij ah haa tiij x, mos taa oo cōitapacta x, toomatox, xah hax tahii hax taa ma x, hamác cōhaisx xah ziix cmis quih haa tap ma, itaho x, haptco cōcaafp iha. Taax oo cōtpacta, hant quih iti caahca ha.

ENGLISH TRANSLATION

Shortly after the creation of the world, the bluefly was sitting on the carcass of a dead animal, as usual, but he was lighting a fire and making smoke signals. Although the dead animal was between bushes and out of view, the vultures were able to spot it because they saw the smoke signals.

That's the way it still is. A dead animal may be there in the desert, perhaps out of view, but the bluefly is there making a fire and smoke signals, as it were, and so the vultures spot the carcass right away and arrive to it. That's how it happens.

GLOSSED TEXT

The text is presented in five word-aligned lines plus a (rather wooden) free translation in a sixth line.

The first line is the text as it is written using local writing conventions, as documented and utilized in M. Moser & Marlett (2010). (Written accents are not always used in locally written materials, but they are used consistently here; see discussion of the analysis in Marlett 2008a.)

The second line presents a phonemic transcription, following the analysis presented in Marlett, Moreno Herrera & Herrera Astorga (2005) and the conventions of the IPA (1999).

The third line presents a narrow, or allophonic, transcription. The publication of this text in E. Moser (1968) included a transcription that is quite comparable to the narrow transcription (although it was not intended that way). Our understanding of Seri phonology and phonetics has progressed since that time and so it seems appropriate to include here a narrow transcription. This is especially important since there are phonetic facts, such as the question of unstressed clauses, that are not easily presented using an automated word-by-word interlinearization program. In this narrow transcription, vowels and consonants marked with a half-length mark are phonetically lengthened as a result of stress on the preceding vowel (and even so the phonetic details are not fully represented). To date, no one has given an account of stress above the word level in Seri, and this makes Moser's transcription valuable since it documented the phrasal stress. In the present narrow transcription, secondary stress is used to mark the place where primary stress would fall in a word-level transcription; vowel length in such syllables is very much reduced, and this is indicated here by a breve above each of the geminate vowels. Words that cliticize are preceded or followed by a bottom tiebar.

The fourth line presents a morphological analysis of the words, generally following the so-called Leipzig Glossing Rules.⁶ Morpheme breaks are not indicated in the text itself for various reasons. In fact, not all derived stems (such as causatives) are fully explicated; they are just glossed with

⁶ See <http://www.eva.mpg.de/lingua/resources/glossing-rules.php>.

appropriate meanings. For detailed information on the morphology — derivational as well as inflectional— see Marlett (2012). Morpheme glossing in this text represents the latest analysis, including the fact that three realis forms are no longer given meaningful labels since the earlier labels (still to be changed in the grammatical description in progress) are now deemed misleading.

The fifth line gives the citation form of the word in question, to facilitate use of the dictionary, M. Moser & Marlett (2010). The special abbreviations “+if ” (for “inflected form of”) and “+pos. var” (for “positional variant”) appear in this line.

And the sixth line gives a relatively free translation of that unit of text. It attempts to provide a clear translation that nonetheless closely reflects the structure of the original text.

MosHum:1.1

1. Hant com cmaa ipaxi quih iihax cota ma,

'ʔant	kom	'kmaa	i'paxi	kiʔ	'iiʔax	'kota	ma
'ʔant	koj	'kwāã	i'paxi'	kʔ	'iiʔax	'kota	ma
land	DEF;HZ	now	3POSS:[PROP.OBL.NMLZ:]finish	DEF;FL	3POSS:with	3.IO:RLT:happen	DS
hant	com	cmāa	quixi+if	quih	iihax	coha+if	ma

When the earth was recently made,

MosHum:1.2

2. hant com iti tahca ma,

'ʔant	kom	iti	'taʔka	ma
'ʔant	kom	iti	'taʔka	ma
land	DEF;HZ	3POSS:ON	RLT:be_located	DS
hant	com	iti	caahca+if	ma

it happened;

MosHum:1.3

3. taax ano caahca ha.

'taax	ano	'kaaʔka	ʔa
'taax	ano	'kaaʔka	ʔa
DEM;DT;PL	[3POSS:]in/from	SBJ.NMLZ:be_located	DCL
taax	ano	caahca	ha.

it happened then

MosHum:2

4. Xcoomoj tiqij, tiix cmiique quih yámatox.

'χkoomox	tikix	'tiix	'kmiike	kiʔ	'jamatoχ
'χkoom'o'x	tikix	'tiix	'kwiik'e'	kiʔ	'jam'a'toχ
<i>Musca_domestica</i>	MD:CM	DEM;DT;SG	person_(Seri)	DEF;FL	RLYO:UNSP.OBJ:use_firedrill
xcoomoj	tiqij	tiix	cmiique	quih	coomatox+if

That fly was making fire [using a firedrill] like a person.

MosHum:3.1

5. Ox isoj itáh toc cõtiiij ma,

'oχ	'isox	i'taʔ	tok	'kʷtiix	ma
'oχ	isox	i'taʔ	tok	ʔkʷtiix	ma
thus	3POSS:body	3SBJ:RLT:say/do/put	there	3.IO:RLT:sit	DS
ox	isoj	quih+if	toc	quij+if	ma

He was sitting there as was his custom,

MosHum:3.2

6. ziix cooxi com itacoxl,

'ʃiix	'kooxi	kom	ita'koχʔ
ʃiix	'kooxi'i'	kom	ita'koχʔ
thing	SBJ.NMLZ:die.(animal)	DEF;HZ	3SBJ:RLT:accompany
ziix	cooxi	com	cacoxl+if

he was staying with the carcass of a dead animal

MosHum:3.3

7. toomatox,

'toomatox
'toom'a'toχ
RLT:UNSP.OBJ:use_firedrill
coomatox+if

he was lighting a fire

MosHum:3.4

8. hamác cõtootni ma x,

ʔa'mak	'kʷtootni	ma	χ
ʔa'mak	ʔkʷtöötni	ma	χ
fire	3.IO:RLT:UNSP.OBJ:touch	DS	UT
hamác	cootni+if	ma	x

he was making smoke signals;

MosHum:3.5

9. hant quih iti tahca ma x,

'ʔant	kiʔ	'iti	'taʔka	ma	χ
'ʔant	kʔ	,iti	,taʔka	,ma	,χ
land	DEF;FL	3POSS:ON	RLT:be_located	DS	UT
hant	quih	iti	caahca+if	ma	x

it happened,

MosHum:3.6

10. ziix quih cooxi quih hehe quih iicot cocom quih hant quih iti toom ma x,

'ʃiix	kiʔ	'kooxi	kiʔ	'ʔεʔε	kiʔ	'iikot
'ʃiix	kʔ	'kooxi·i	kʔ	'ʔεʔ·e·	kʔ	'iik·ot
thing	DEF;FL	SBJ.NMLZ:die.(animal)	DEF;FL	plant	DEF;FL	3POSS:place_between
ziix	quih	cooxi	quih	hehe	quih	iicot

'kokom	kiʔ	'ʔant	kiʔ	'iti	'toom	ma	χ
'kok·o·m	kʔ	'ʔant	kʔ	,iti	,tōōm	,ma	,χ
3.IO:SBJ.NMLZ:lie	DEF;FL	land	DEF;FL	3POSS:ON	RLT:lie	DS	UT
cocom	quih	hant	quih	iti	coom+if	ma	x

the dead animal lying between the bushes was on the ground,

MosHum:3.7

11. ziic quih haquix mota x,

'ʃiik	kiʔ	'ʔakiχ	'mota	χ
'ʃiik	kʔ	'ʔak·i·χ	,mota	,χ
bird	DEF;FL	somewhere	TWD:RLT:move	UT
ziic	quih	haquix	moca+if	x

a bird came along,

MosHum:3.8

12. imiiho tax.

i'miiʔo	tax
i'miiʔ·o·	,tax
3SBJ:RLMI:see	SBRD
quiiho+if	tax

because he saw them.

MosHum:4.1

13. Taax ah oo cötpacta x,

'taax	aʔ	oo	'k ^w tpakta	χ
'taax	ˌaʔ	ˌöö	'k ^w tpakta	ˌχ
DEM;DT;PL	FOC	LIM	3.10:RLT:be_in_appearance	UT
taax	ah	oo	hapacta+if	x

It was like that,

MosHum:4.2

14. ox tpacta, yoque.

'ox	'tpakta	jokε
'ox	'tpakta	jokε
thus	RLT:be_in_appearance	RLYO:UNSPBJ:say
ox	hapacta+if	teeque+if

that's how it was, they say.

MosHum:5.1

15. Ox cöiilha hizac xox,

'ox	'k ^w iiʔa	ʔifak	χox
ˌox	'k ^w iiʔaˌ	ʔifak	ˌχox
thus	3.10:3POSS:PROP.OBL.NMLZ: happen	PROX:LOC	although
ox	coha+if	hizac	xox

Although that's the way it is nowadays,

MosHum:5.2

16. ziix quih cooxi quih heecot cotom,

'fiix	kiʔ	'kooxi	kiʔ	'ʔεekot	'kotom
'fiix	kiʔ	'kooxiˌ	kʔ	'ʔεekˌoˌt	ˌkotom
thing	DEF;FL	SBJ.NMLZ:die.(animal)	DEF;FL	desert_area	3.10:RLT:lie
ziix	quih	cooxi	quih	heecot	cocom+if

the dead animal lies in the desert,

MosHum:5.3

17. haquix toom ma x,

'ʔakiχ	'toom	ma	χ
'ʔakˌiˌχ	ˌtööm	ˌma	ˌχ
somewhere	RLT:lie	DS	UT
haquix	coom+if	ma	x

it lies somewhere,

MosHum:5.4

18. haa tmaco xox,

'ʔaa	'tmako	χox
'ʔaa	'tmako	χox
there	RLT:ʔ	although
haa	cmaco+if	xox

although it may not be visible,

MosHum:5.5

19. ziic quih imiiho tax;

'ʃiik	kiʔ	i'miiʔo	tax
'ʃiik	kʔ	i'miiʔo'	tax
bird	DEF;FL	3SBJ:RLMI:see	SBRD
ziic	quih	quih+if	tax

the bird sees it;

MosHum:5.6

20. xcoomoj tiquij ah haa tiij x,

'χkoomox	tikix	aʔ	'ʔaa	'tiix	χ
'χkoom'o'x	tikix	əʔ	'ʔaa	'tiix	χ
<i>Musca domestica</i>	MD:CM	FOC	there	RLT:sit	UT
xcoomoj	tiquij	ah	haa	quij+if	x

that fly is sitting there,

MosHum:5.7

21. mos taa oo cōitapacta x,

mos	'taa	oo	k ^w ita'pakta	χ
mos	'taa	öð	k ^w ita,pakta	χ
also	DEM;MD;PL	LIM	3.10:3SBJ:RLT:do	UT
mos	taa	oo	capacta+if	x

he is also doing it like that,

MosHum:5.8

22. toomatox, xah hax tahii hax taa ma x,

'toomatox	χaʔ	ʔax	ta'ʔii	ʔax	'taa	ma	χ
'toom'a'tox	χaʔ	ʔax	ta'ʔii	ʔax	'täã	ma	χ
RLT:UNSP.OBJ:use_fire	?	rather/very	RLT:PASS:feel	rather/very	RLT:AUX	DS	UT
coomatox+if	xah	hax	cquii+if	hax	taa	ma	x

it is just as if he were starting fire with a firedrill,

MosHum:5.9

23. hamác cöhaişx xah ziix cmis quih haa tap ma,

ʔa'mak	'kʷʔaisx	xaʔ	'ʃiix	'kmiş	kiʔ	'ʔaa	'tap	ma
ʔa'mak	'kʷʔaisx	xaʔ	'ʃiix	'kwĩş	kʔ	'ʔää	'tap	_ma
fire	injured	?	thing	SBJ.NMLZ:[TR:]resemble	DEF;FL	there	RLT:stand	DS
hamác	cöhaişx	xah	ziix	cmis	quih	haa	tap	ma

something resembling a smoke signal is there;

MosHum:5.10

24. itaho x,

i'taʔo	x
i'taʔo·	x
3SBJ:RLT:see	UT
quih+if	x

when he sees it,

MosHum:5.11

25. haptco cöcaafp iha.

'ʔaptko	'kʷkaaʔp	iʔa
'ʔaptko	'kʷkʷaaʔp	_iʔa
already	3.IO:SBJ.NMLZ:arrive	DCL
haptco	caafp+if	ha+pos. var.

he arrives to it right away.

MosHum:6.1

26. Taax oo cötpacta,

'taax	oo	'kʷtpakta
'taax	öö	_kʷtpakta
DEM;DT;PL	LIM	3.IO:RLT:be_in_appearance
taax	oo	hapacta+if

It is like that,

MosHum:6.2

27. hant quih iti caahca ha.

'ʔant	kiʔ	'iti	'kaaʔka	ʔa
'ʔant	kʔ	_iti	'kaaʔka	_ʔa
land	DEF;FL	3POSS:on	SBJ.NMLZ:be_located	DCL
hant	quih	iti	caahca	ha

it happens like that.

Comments

In the following subsections I present comments on the text itself, primarily comparing published versions, and then comments about grammatical points.

Textual comments

In this section I briefly compare the actual text of three versions: 1968, 1976 and the present one. For this comparison, I use the community-based orthography and the unit numbers that appear in the section Text above.

In 1.1, the word *ipaxi* was just that in 1968 but was changed to *cöipaxi* (adding the prefix *cö-*) in 1976, probably because Jesús Morales gave it that way. The current version excludes the prefix.

In 1.1, the word transcribed *quihax* in 1968 and 1976 is taken as *quih iihax*; the word *iihax* is part of more than one idiom based on the irregular verb *coha*, as shown in M. Moser & Marlett (2010: 206).

In sentence 2, the word *yámatox* was *cöyámatox* in 1968, but was *yámatox* in 1976. I have no explanation for why this finite verb changed its form to drop the prefix *cö-* in the 1976 version except that probably Jesús Morales gave it that way. It would seem to make sense for the prefix to occur to agree with the nominal of comparison *cmiique quih*, but the prefix was nonetheless dropped.

The words *toc cötiij ma* in 3.1 were transcribed without the prefix *cö-* in 1968 and 1976. In this case, we know that it was simply a matter of not hearing the obviously non-salient consonant *cö- /kʷ/* in this context. We now know that the locative *toc* always requires that prefix (or its allomorph *co-*) on the verb that follows, as is obvious from all of the phonological contexts that permit it to be heard well.

The nominalized verb *cocom* in 3.6, now in a relative clause, was originally the finite verb *cotom* and not in a relative clause.

The definite article *quih* in 5.2 (and elsewhere) wrong-way cliticizes with whatever follows the noun phrase and is typically pronounced as [kʔ] when it precedes a vowel or a glottal stop and a vowel. This article is etymologically related to the nominalized verb *quiih /kiiʔ/*; see Marlett &

M. Moser (1994). It is likely that reanalysis has taken place and now the presence of [i] in the occasional allomorph [kiʔ] of the article is due to a postlexical epenthesis rule. In the 1976 version of the text, the article is written attached to the verb on which it leans, and so *ziic quih imiibo* ['ʃiik kʔ i'miiʔ·o·] ('bird the it.sees.it') in 5.5 was written *ziic chimüihboo*. By 1983, the custom was to write this article always as *quih*, regardless of how it was actually pronounced.

Each of the presentations utilize six sentences, although the most recent version uses a semicolon in the middle of the fifth sentence as there is a fairly major break there (which could have been represented with a period). The most recent version uses commas in crucial ways that have proven to be helpful to readers for appropriate “chunking” of the text. Commas are used after dependent clauses, as in sentence 1. They have also been used after noun (or determiner) phrases that are then followed by the demonstrative pronoun, as at the beginning of sentence 2. Commas have been placed after the subordinator *xox*, as in sentence 5.

Other differences between the versions of the text are primarily matters of orthography —dealing with extremely knotty issues of the representation of phonetic and phonological facts, but there are a few other small differences such as the inclusion of an additional article or enclitic *x*.

Grammatical comments

The use of the “horizontal” article *com* with the word for ‘land’ in 1.1 indicates that the land in its broad expanse is being referred to, not the earth as a sphere (which would use the “compact” article *quij*). More information on the use of articles can be found in Marlett & M. Moser (1994) and Marlett (2012).

The combination *iihax cota* is an idiom that indicates the simultaneity of the events mentioned.

The expressions with the verb *caahca* (in its different forms) in 1.2 and 1.3 have to do with the matter of an event happening.

The demonstrative *tiquij* in 2 is appropriate for insects of this type (not too long, not too tall); they are all prototypically presented as sitting rather than standing unless in motion.

The phrase *ox isoj itáh* in 3.1 is an idiom that indicates customary action or habit. I believe that there is some kind of construction illustrated here—seen in many other texts—of a relatively informative clause such as the one just mentioned that is followed by a positional verb in a fairly formulaic way (*toc cõtiiij mā*) that should be translated in a way that combines the two clauses. This construction has not been investigated in detail, but the intonational contour is similar to that of the phasal verb construction discussed in Marlett (2008b), a fact that supports an analysis that combines the two clauses in some way. (For this reason, a comma does not follow the verb *itáh* in 3.1.)

Only one sentence, 4, includes the main verb *yoque* ‘one says’, which is often used as an evidential marker in texts such as this (and actually very weakly articulated—unstressed, and sometimes virtually unheard), indicating that we are dealing with information that is not first-hand.

The expression *haa tmaco* in 5.4 is an idiom that indicates that something is hidden, hard to see, or difficult and complicated. The verb *tmaco* is inflected with the realis *t-* prefix. While one might think that the *m* is the negative prefix, it is not (at least synchronically; see Marlett, 2002 for discussion). The verb may be negated: *tommaco*. Since the verb only occurs in this and one other idiom, we do not know what it means in itself.

The expression *hamác cöhaiix* in 5.9 means ‘smoke signal’, but is literally ‘injured fire’.

Two main clause finite verbs in this text, the verbs *imiibo* in 3.9 and 5.5, are in the *mi*-realis form. The use of this form (rather than the *yo*-realis form) is appropriate since the author is not asserting personal observation of the events. It is curious, however, that the first main-clause finite verb in the text is actually in the *yo*-realis form; see *yámatox* in 2. It might be noted that some other main (final) clauses have non-finite verbs—a rather common situation—followed by a modal enclitic. These all happen to be subject nominalized forms. See *caahca* in 1.3 and 6.2, and *cöcaafj* in 5.11.

The text seems to be relating the modern world and the world as it was first made. The first paragraph (sentences 1-4), as currently formatted, tell what happened when the world was first made. The second paragraph (sentences 5-6) indicates that the modern situation is parallel to what happened back then. As an anonymous reviewer pointed out, the current

translation differs in some key ways from the 1968 translation. The verb forms do not provide the difference between past and present (a topic that needs much more research). The current translation pivots significantly on the difference between the introductory phrase (“Shortly after the creation of the world”) and the beginning of sentence 5 that transitions to the modern world.

Lines 3.9 and 5.5 end with the word *tax*, identified as a weak subordinator in Marlett (2012). This analysis does not make much sense here but an alternative analysis has not been offered. The similarity of this word to the demonstrative pronoun *taax* may or may not be accidental, but the similarity does require the writer to think carefully about how to write the word and how to punctuate a sentence that contains one of these words.

Appendix A. The 1968 version

A facsimile version of the text as it was published in E. Moser (1968) is included here. (Only the text itself is presented; the two pages on which it appears are spliced together in this reproduction.)

1. ʔánt koŋ kʷáq ipáXXii kiʔaX kotama ʔánt kom iti taʔkama táaX ano káaʔkaʔa. 2. Xkóommooxk ti kix tiX kʷíkkee kiʔ kʷyámmaatoX. 3. óX isox itaʔ tok tijma šiX kóoXXii kom itakoX tóommaatoX ʔamáak kʷtotnimaX ʔánt kiʔ iti taʔkamaX šiX kiʔ kóoXXii kiʔ ʔéʔʔe íkkoot ki kotom ʔánt kiʔ iti tommaX šiik ʔákkiiX mota imíiʔoo taax. 4. táaXaʔo kʷtpaktaX óX pákta yoke. 5. oX kʷíʔʔaa ʔiš ak XoX šiX kiʔ kóoXXii kiʔ ʔékkoot kotom ʔákkiiX tommaX ʔáa tmako XoX šiik kiʔ imíiʔoo taaX Xkóommooxk ti kix ʔáa tixX mos táao kʷitapaktaX tóommaatoX Xaʔ ʔaX taʔii ʔaXtama ʔamáak kʷʔáisX Xaʔ šiX kʷís kʔaa tapma itáʔʔooX ʔáptko kkʷáaʔpiʔa. 6. táaXo kʷtpakta ʔánt kiʔ iti káaʔkaʔa.

Appendix B. The 1976 version

A facsimile version of the text as it was published in Morales (1976) is included here without the illustration that followed it.

XCÓOMMOOJC CÓIL QUIH CUIYÁMMAATOX AC

Jesús Morales

Tix óahhiha

Hánt cong cuáa cuipáxxii quihax cotama hánt com iti tahcama táax ano cáahcaha. Xcóommooje ti quij tix cuícquee quih yámmaatox. Óx isoj itah toc tijma zix cóoxxi com itacoxl tóommaatox hamác cōtotnimax hánt chiti tahcamax zix quih cóoxxi chéhhe íccoot quih cotom hánt chiti tommax zíc chácquiix mota imíihhoo taax. Táaxaho cōtpactax óx tpácta yoque. Ox cuíhhaa híz ac xox zix quih cóoxxi chéecoot cotom hácquiix tommax háa tmaco xox zíc chimíihhoo taax xcóommooje tí quij háa tijx mos táo cuitapactax tóommaatox xahax tahíi hax tama hamac cuháisx xah zix cuís chaa tapma itáhhoox háptco cuuáafpiha. Táaxo cōtpacta hánt chiti cáahcaha.

Appendix C. The 1983 version

A facsimile version of the text as it was published in Morales (1983) is included here with one of the illustrations done by Cathy Moser [Marlett] that accompanied it. (The two pages on which it appears are spliced together in this reproduction.)

XCOOMOJ COIL QUIH CÖIYAMATOX AC

Jesús Morales
Tiix oahiha

Hant com cmaa cöipaxi quihax cotama hant com iti tahcama taax ano cahcaha.



Xcoomoj tiquij tiix cmique quih yamatox. Ox isoj itah toc cötiiij ziix cooxi com itacoxl toomatox hamac cötootnimax hant quih iti tahcamax ziix quih cooxi quih hehe icot quih cotoom hant quih iti toommax ziic quih haquix mota imiiho taax. Taaxah oo cötpactax ox tpacta yoque.

Ox cöiha hizac xox ziix quih cooxi quih heecot cotoom haquix toommax haa tmaco xox ziic quih imiiho taax xcoomoj tiquij haa tiijx mos taa oo cöitapactax toomatox xah hax tahii hax tama hamac cöhaisx xah ziix cmis quih haa tapma itahox haptco cöcafpiha. Taaxo cötpacta hant quih iti cahcaha.

Abbreviations

3	third person	OBL	oblique
AUX	auxiliary	PASS	passive
CM	compact	PL	plural
DCL	declarative	POSS	possessive
DEF	definite	PROP	proposition
DEM	demonstrative	PROX	proximal (locative, demonstrative)
DS	different subject	RLMI	realis “mi” form
DT	distal	RLT	realis “r” form
FL	flexible, out of sight, or default	RLYO	realis “yo” form
FOC	focus	SBJ	subject
HZ	horizontal	SBRD	subordinator
IO	indirect object	SG	singular
LIM	limiter	TR	transitive
LOC	location	TWD	toward
MD	medial	UNSP	unspecified
NMLZ	nominalizer	UT	unspecified time
OBJ	object		

The prefix *i-* 3.SUBJ basically only appears when the subject and direct object are both third person.

References

- FELGER, Richard S. & MOSER, Mary B.
 1985 *People of the desert and sea: Ethnobotany of the Seri Indians*.
 Tucson: University of Arizona Press.
- IPA (International Phonetic Association)
 1999 *Handbook of the International Phonetic Association*. Cambridge:
 Cambridge University Press.
- KROEBER, Alfred L.
 1931 *The Seri*. 6. Southwest Museum Papers.
- MARLETT, Stephen A.
 2002 “Reanalysis of passive and negative prefixes in Seri.” *Linguistic
 Discovery* 1: 1-14.
[http://journals.dartmouth.edu/cgi-bin/WebObjects/Journals.
 woa/2/xmlpage/1/article/1](http://journals.dartmouth.edu/cgi-bin/WebObjects/Journals.woa/2/xmlpage/1/article/1)

- 2006 “La evolución del alfabeto seri,” in *VIII Encuentro Internacional de Lingüística en el noroeste: Memorias*, María del Carmen Morúa, editor, pp. 311-329, Vol. 3. Hermosillo: Universidad de Sonora.
- 2008a “Stress, extrametricality and the minimal word in Seri.” *Linguistic Discovery* 6 (1): 1-14.
<http://journals.dartmouth.edu/cgi-bin/WebObjects/Journals.woa/1/xmlpage/1/article/321>
- 2008b “The phasal verb construction in Seri,” in *Proceedings of the Conference on Indigenous Languages of Latin America-III*, pp. 1-19. Austin: University of Texas.
http://www.ailla.utexas.org/site/cilla3/Marlett_CILLA_III.pdf
- 2012 “A grammar of Seri.” Summer Institute of Linguistics and University of North Dakota. ms.
http://www.und.nodak.edu/instruct/smarlett/Stephen_Marlett/GrammarDraft.html
- MARLETT, Stephen A., F. Xavier MORENO HERRERA, & Genaro G. HERRERA ASTORGA
- 2005 “Seri.” *Journal of the International Phonetic Association* 35: 117-121.
- MARLETT, Stephen A. & Mary B. MOSER
- 1994 “El desarrollo de clases nominales en seri,” in *Estudios de lingüística y sociolingüística*, Gerardo López Cruz & José Luis Moctezuma Zamarrón, editors, pp. 97-103. Hermosillo: Universidad de Sonora & Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia.
- MORALES, Jesús
- 1976 “Xcóommoojc cóil quih cöiyámmaatox ac,” in *Zix anxö cóohhiit hapáh quih czáxö zix quihmáa táax mos czaxöiha* (*El gigante llamado comelón y otras historias*), Roberto Herrera T., Jesús Morales & Juan Topete, narrators, p. 15. Mexico City: Instituto Lingüístico de Verano.
- 1983 “Xcoomoj coil quih cöiyamatox,” in *Hapxa quih oot cop cöihaasitim ac czaxöiha: ziix quih hmaa taax mos czaxöiha* (*Como el Conejo engañó al Coyote y otros cuentos*), Roberto

Herrera T. & Jesús Morales, narrators, pp. 31-32. Mexico City: Instituto Lingüístico de Verano.

MOSER, Edward W.

1968 "Two Seri myths." *Tlalocan* 5(4): 364-367.

MOSER, Mary B. & Stephen A. MARLETT, compilers

2010 *Comcaac quih yaza quih hant ibiip hac: Diccionario seri-español-inglés*. 2nd Edition. Mexico City & Hermosillo: Plaza y Valdés Editores & Universidad de Sonora.

O'MEARA, Carolyn, FRANCISCO MORALES HERRERA, Alma Imelda MORALES

ROMERO, & René MONTAÑO HERRERA

2013 "Donde fue tirado el pantalón y cómo se llega a esa bahía." *Tlalocan* 18: 125-140.

http://www.iifilologicas.unam.mx/tlalocan/uploads/Volumenes/Tlalocan_XVIII/08-OMeara-Seri_XVIII.pdf

Recibido el 17 de mayo de 2013

Aceptado el 18 de agosto de 2013